IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

TUESDAY, THE 9TH JANUARY 2007 / 19TH PAUSHA 1928

WA.No. 2417 of 2006()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.23360/2003 Dated 07/11/2006

.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONER

DANIEL.V.GEORGE, VINI VILA,
VETTIPUZHA, KACHERRY WARD, PUNALUR.

BY ADV. SRI.SUBHASH CYRIAC

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE JOINT REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER,
SUB REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICE, PUNALUR.

2. THAHSILDAR, TALUK OFFICE,
PATHANAPURAM, PUNALUR.

3. T.N.MOHANDAS, GAYAYIL,
THOLIKODU WARD, KARAVALOOR VILLAGE,
PATHNAPURAM.

BY SPL. GOVERNMENT PLEADER TAXES
SRI. GEORGE KUTTY MATHEW.

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON I.A. NO. 1234 OF 2006
IN
W.A. NO.2417 OF 2006

DISMISSED

9/1/07 **SD/- K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.**

SD/- M.N. KRISHNAN, JUDGE.

[True Copy]

P.A. TO JUDGE.

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN & M.N. KRISHNAN, JJ.

= = = = = == = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

W.A. NO. 2417 OF 2006

= =

Dated this the 1st day of January, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Radhakrishnan, J.

We find no mistake in the finding of the learned Single Judge to be interfered with by us. Admittedly, the petitioner/appellant continues to be a registered owner of the vehicle bearing Registration No.KL.08 A 960 even now. Counsel for the appellant submits that he had intimated the transfer of ownership to the 3rd respondent but has not entered in the Registration Book. We are of the view, once the appellant continues to be a registered owner, we find no infirmity in the judgment of the learned Single Judge. Hence, the appeal lacks merit and it is dismissed.

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

M.N. KRISHNAN, JUDGE.

ul/-